SEGNE?

000 60-1513

23 NOV 1960

MANGRAFINE FOR: WE/4

ACCEPTANTE

1

SECTION 1

Possible Claim of Former Agent for Alleged Inadequate Settlement on Termination

- 1. According to your memorandum requesting an opinion on the Assacy's legal position regarding the possible claim of one Beademonn, as a limitable of the dissection of the possible claim of the Beademonn, as Italian newsymper correspondent, stated the following fasts. Beademonn, an Italian newsymper correspondent, was recruited in 1946 and compensated, first 25,000 line (\$40.30) and later 55,000 line (\$68.70) per mouth to furnish information obtained through his many highly placed contacts in the Hastern Hediterranean countries. The compensation was considered to have been mothing more than a supplement to his income as a newsymparamen, and no demands were ever made upon his which would have interfered with his professional career. Beademone was terminated in 1977 and rehired in 1958.
- 2. In March 1959, he was sent to Libya on an approximate and given travel and per dies. He was injured in an entomobile accident while in Libya and laid up for two weeks. He did not return to the Rose office until July. It was decided an expect his translation on the basis of negative evaluations by both he was approved by Escaparters. He was terminated on 12 Recember 1959 as per this plan at which time he signed a quitelaim and sourcey agreement. Prior to termination he had taken a und bath cure recommended by his doctor to remedy a condition caused by the socident in Libya. Buring the treatment, he suffered a heart attack and spent three months in bed.
- 3. Shortly after his termination, he and a friend, Miss Virginia Reeves, requested a re-examination of the termination settlement. He was requested, thereafter, to submit the outline of a settlement which would be more actisfactory to him. He, therefore, requested a settlement totaling \$16,000, claiming that he had been grossly underpaid during his years of association with the Agency and suggesting that he be given \$200 per month, less what he actually received during this period.
- 4. Your memorandum has suggested the possibility that Deedesons will bring a claim, with perhaps Miss Reeves' assistance, against the

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIBENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2008

8. The Employees' Compensation Appeals Board stated in the Fagrithiling Parish: case, decided 21 December 1956, The newritaining whether is saidly/Amal is an employee of another; each case must be decided on the own facts and, ordinarily, no single feature of the relationship is between the translative." The case before the Board involved a mail carrier who contracted with the Post Office Empartment to earry mail and purvel post each of forth between the local Fost Office and the Fennsylvania Railway Fration. In concluding that an employer-employee relationship existed, the Doard stated;

"Here it is apparent that the Portmarter entruised complete control over the work activity; the Portmarter bimedif indicated that he considered that he had the prereggitive to terminate Hr. Parker's services at any time; there is no evidence that Hr. Parker did not believe that the Portmarter had the right so to terminate his services. . . . Hr. Parker devoted all his working time to the Port Office Department and did not hold himself out to the public as an independent business service."

- 9. Remaining the particular facts of Deckmone's activity for the Agency, it would be difficult to conclude that an emplayer-employee relationship existed. Certain indicate of such relationship did, in fact, exist, such as the right to terminate the relationship. The insidential neture of his activities in furnishing information of interest and value to the United States Government as compared with his primary profession as a newspaperment, the apparently modest compared tion for such activity in comparison with that reactived in following his corner, and the fact that no domands ever were made upon his which might have interfered with his professional enters, lands us to the conclusion that Deckmons performed his correct such as an employee. The Agency was interverted in the result to be accomplished by his activity, not in the details and means by which this result was to be accomplished. Therefore, this Office could not recommend the Agency's certifying Deckmons as an employee for compensation under 7504.
- 10. This Office believes that the Agency is in a strong legal position heald Designess endeavor to bring a claim against the United States everywest. Exturally, such an estion should be avaided if possible. Seedemone would be ill-odvised to bring sait is an Italian sewet since the acture of his activity sould not halp but reflect unfavorably upon him in tally. This sees consideration would probably also be controlling in a scision as to whether to see in an American court. Therefore, the italihood of his bringing a claim is remote, although, of course, such possibility cannot be entirely discounted.
- 11. If the Agency should determine that it has some moral obligation to Desdemons, not compensated by the 1,500,000 live provided in the termination agreement, or if it should determine that the outside possibility

w

of Bedemons's bringing suit must be dealt with, additional compensation could be justified. Of course, the standard under which to measure this additional compensation is purely a matter of policy, determined by the origenates of the situation. Nevertheless, INCA benefits might well be utilised as a guide,

12. Assuming the amployer-employee relationship, BEC would require proof that Designon's disablement was work-connected for an award to be made under FEGA. It would be possible to prover that the injury received in Libys was work-connected. Enveror, it is desirth! that BEC would consider the heart strack to have been a natural concentuant of the original work-connected injury, therefore qualifying it for an emerd under FEGA. Generally speaking, BEC will make search to whose persons suffering heart attacks only in situations where some extraordinary work-connected physical activity is the direct sense of the strack. Assuming, severtheless, a feverable determination by BEC in a situation in which the employee during recovery would be smarted. Under Section 3 of the FEGA, if the disability is tokal "the Mited States shall key a heart ettack, if the disability is tokal "the Mited States shall key to the disabiled employee during such disability a mosthly monetary compansation equal to 66 2/3 persentes of his mosthly assuming this time, however, he received his full mosthly fee of 35,000 live. Therefore, the FEGA schedule would allow no additional compensation for this period of time.

13. Assuming that Designous's beart streek orested a permanent partial disability, Baction(+)(a)(1) of the Ast would be applicable:

"Except as otherwise provided in this Act, if the disability is partial the United States shall pay to the disabled employes during such disability, a monthly unnestary compensation equal to 66 8/3 persention of the difference between his menthly pay and his mentaly earning especity after the beginning of such partial disability which shall be known as his basic compensation for partial disability."

Since Desdenous's "employment" with the Agency was of a part-time and totally dependent upon his continuing as a newspaperman, the (then, whalk he whether the heart attack had made continued employed his primary profession impossible. If such was the case, his shill to perform other work outside of newspaper profession would be irrelawant to a determination of the extent of his disability. Determination then, would be extitled to receive a northly monetary compensation to 66 2/3 percentum of \$95.70, adjusted to the scale as determined paid forwign nationals living on the Italian secondry. A lump-sum that he was the transmission of \$95.70. ATTIG at an

SEGULI

It. It equilibries, this Office wishes to report that Besiemms has no legithfully banks for a claim against the Agency, and that no further least object and to take to protect the Agency's position in the matter.

SICHED

Office of Seneral Seneral

SEU